

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,411	05/11/2005	Michio Tsuyumoto	3273-0202PUS1	4477	
2592 7590 05/05/2010 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			DESAI, ANISH P		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1787		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/05/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/534,411	TSUYUMOTO ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANISH DESAI	1787			
All Participants: Status of Application: After-Final					
(1) <u>ANISH DESAI</u> .	(3)				
(2) Mr. Craig McRobbie (Attorney).	(4)				
Date of Interview: <u>28 April 2010</u> Time: <u>approx 8.15A</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: None					
Claims discussed: None					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 					
/Callie E. Shosho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1787 /A. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 1787	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 04/28/10 and 04/29/10, the Examiner called Mr. McRobbie to discuss after-final amendment submitted on 03/30/10 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. However, the Examiner was unable to reach Mr. McRobbie. The Examiner left a voicemail for Mr.McRobbie.